

HERTFORDSHIRE HIGHWAYS INTEGRATED WORKS PROGRAMME AND FORWARD WORKS PROGRAMME

Appendix E – Member Feedback

Background

Draft 1 of the 2012/13 IWP and subsequent 2013/14 – 2015/16 FWP was presented to the Highway Joint Member Panels (HJMPs) at their meetings around April 2011 and feedback from the Panels has been used to help inform the refining of the programme over the summer of 2011 to produce Draft 2 presented in this report.

As part of the decision making process a summary of this feedback on Draft 1, along with any further feedback on Draft 2 from these HJMP meetings around October 2011, will be submitted with a Final Draft IWP to Hertfordshire County Council's Cabinet in February/March 2012 for final approval/endorsement.

Queries from the HJMP

If any Member has any queries or requires any further information or elaboration regarding any of the Officer responses given they are invited to contact the relevant Contact Officer directly, contact details of which are included in [Section 7 Table 1 of the covering report](#) (depending upon the IWP/FWP Number Scheme Code).

Watford

1. Feedback on the Draft 1 and responses

The Panel noted the report.

General

1.1 Councillor Giles-Medhurst wanted clarification of what was meant by these phrases within previous Officer Responses:

a. what does "*shuffled*" refer to in the sentence '*Either already on the FWP or have been bid for this year and are currently being shuffled on the prioritising process*'?

- Officer's Reply: Members will appreciate that numerous requests are made for sites throughout the County and that there is insufficient funds to fulfil all aspirations. With this in mind '*shuffled on the prioritising process*' was meant to refer to the process of re-prioritising schemes to ensure that the most deserving sites, in terms of best value for money, are dealt using the available budget.

b. what does "*modelling process has not resulted in the schemes inclusion*" refer to in the sentence '*Unfortunately the modelling process has not resulted in this scheme's inclusion in the programme*'?

- Officer's Reply: The modelling process is the use of a deterioration model, a specialist computer programme, which takes many pieces of data about every road section in the County including condition survey data, fault reports, surface type, location, traffic volumes, location, hierarchy etc. and works out what would be the appropriate improvement treatment given its condition and roughly how much that would cost. It then takes the total budget available and calculates the roads which would provide the best value for money in the next ten years to extend the life of the whole network within that budget. The model may add, remove or change the priority (and therefore the proposed delivery year) of any scheme in the previously created programme. Therefore if this modelling process does not identify that a road is a deserving site, in terms of best value for money, then a scheme will not be included.

c. what does "*additional bids outside the agreed system*" refer to in the sentence '*Do not appear on this years bid. Either they have already been filtered out by the local team as lower priority or these are additional bids outside the agreed system*'?

- Officer's Reply: The agreed system is that currently all IWP footway schemes are initially selected and prioritised based solely on bids submitted by all Herts Highways District Teams for consideration for use of the available Countywide footway funding. The District Teams are asked for candidates each year (over the summer period) and are asked to rank the condition of the site and suggest the type of treatment required. These bids are then prioritised Countywide based on the submitted bids, condition, treatment and hierarchy of the footways. We do not visit the footway sites at this stage as we are creating and ranking the programme up to five years in advance. For this outline stage of the programme we rely on what the District Teams have told us about the condition and treatment required. Only the 6 months before intended delivery (so between autumn to spring) do we go out and look at the sites in detail, review the treatment to see if its still correct, finalise the design and work with WT to prepare the final work for delivery. Therefore if the proposed footway works have not been bid for by the District Teams then they would attract the Officer response '*additional bids outside the agreed system*'.

Feedback on the Draft 1 12/13 IWP to 15/16 FWP and responses

1.2 Members would like the work requested in Table 1 in the programme.

- Officer's Reply: Officers from the local and strategic teams have considered the feedback jointly and responses are included in the last column of Table 1. While it has not been possible to accommodate everything Members have suggested within the current programmes, some changes have been possible following the feedback, as noted.

Table 1:

Councillor	Road Location	Ward Location	Comments	Officer Response
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Lower High Street Footways 1 (FWY11047 planned for 2013-2014)	Central	Footpath in poor condition, deferred from 11/12 to 13/14. Why? Would like works brought forward.	This scheme has been combined with FWY11048 Lower High Street Footway Works 2 and FWY12042 Chalk Hill Footway Works, and brought forward to 12/13 (new combined scheme name is FWY11048 Lower High Street/Chalk Hill Footway Works)
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Lower High Street Footways 2 (FWY11048 planned for 2012-2013)	Central	Footpath in poor condition, deferred from 11/12 to 12/13. Why? Would like works brought forward.	Budgetary pressures meant this scheme could not be carried out in 11/12. It remains programmed for 12/13 combined with FWY11047 Lower High Street Footway Works 1 and FWY12042 Chalk Hill Footway Works (new combined scheme name is FWY11048 Lower High Street/Chalk Hill Footway Works)
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Marlborough Road Casualty Reduction Proposals (SAR10014 planned for 2013-2014)	Central	Deferred from 11/12 to 13/14. Why? Would like works brought forward	This scheme was a study to review the effectiveness of the works that were carried out at Marlborough Road junction with Cassio Road. This review identified collisions to the north and west of the junction. Having reviewed the situation there are no clear patterns that could be treated with remedial measures at this time. The actions identified as part of the study are to review cycle routes in this particular area and to monitor the works recently implemented at the Marlborough / Cassio junction
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Queens Road Resurfacing (CWY12280 planned for 2013-2014)	Central	Deferred from 11/12 to 13/14. Why? In poor condition.	In September 2009 the asset modelling moved this scheme to 11/12, but it could not be accommodated in that year and was moved to 13/14. It has now been brought forward to 12/13
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Franklin Road Thin Surfacing (CWY12558 planned for 2012-2013)	Central	In poor condition.	This scheme has been brought forward and will now be delivered during 11/12 by the area team under the Super Cat 2 process
Councillor	Loates Lane footways	Central	In poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c

Councillor	Road Location	Ward Location	Comments	Officer Response
Giles-Medhurst				above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Loates Lane was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Sutton Road Thin Surfacing (CWY15103 planned for 2015-2016)	Central	In poor condition. Can it be brought forward from 15/16?	The recent asset modelling run moved this scheme out beyond the present FWP, however due to Member concerns it has been reinstated to 15/16 as originally planned
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Sotheron Road resurfacing	Central	In poor condition, especially junction with Prince Street and ponding outside No.122.	This road was identified on the recent asset modelling run and has been indicated for treatment in 16/17
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Chilcott Road footways	Leggatts	Some in poor condition, despite Super CAT2 works.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Chilcott Road was not included in area team's bid this year
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Radlett Road Thin Surfacing (CWY12484 planned for 2012-2013)	Central	In poor condition, especially from Queens Road to railway bridge and junction with Ebury Road.	This scheme remains programmed for 12/13 when the opportunity will be taken during the design process to review the necessity to retain the existing anti skid surfacing on the bends
Councillor Giles-Medhurst	Orchard Avenue (Footway Works, FWY15031 planned for 2015-2016) (also in Three Rivers District)	Woodside	In poor condition. Can it be done sooner and moved from 15/16 to 12/13?	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Orchard Avenue was not included in the area team's bid to advance this year
Councillor Brandon	Dell Road footways	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Dell Road was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Brandon	Elm Grove footways	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Elm Grove was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Johnson	Foxhill Footway Reconstruction (FWY13044 planned for 2013-2014)	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition. Would like	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from

Councillor	Road Location	Ward Location	Comments	Officer Response
			brought forward from 13/14.	annual bids from the area teams. Foxhill was not included in the area team's bid this year
Stephen Johnson	Brush Rise Footways Reconstruction (FWY14011 planned for 2014-2015)	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition. Would like brought forward from 13/14.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Brush Rise was not included in the area team's bid this year
Stephen Johnson	Berry Avenue footways	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Berry Avenue was not included in the area team's bid this year
Stephen Johnson	Middle Way footways	Leggatts	Footways in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Middle Way was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	The Gossamers footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition. Heavily used by Senior Citizens going to shops.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. The Gossamers was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	The Turnstones footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. The Turnstones was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Garston Lane footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition. Slabs are showing wear.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Garston Lane was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Gaddesden Crescent footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition. Some areas have been patched.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Gaddesden Crescent was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Phillipers resurfacing	Meriden	Road in poor condition.	To date Phillipers has not been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012

Councillor	Road Location	Ward Location	Comments	Officer Response
Councillor Oaten	Felden Close road and footways	Meriden	Road and footway in poor condition.	Felden Close carriageway has not, to date, been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP it will however be surveyed and reassessed at the end of this year/beginning of next Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Felden Close was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Garston Lane Resurfacing (CWY13110 planned for 2011-2012)	Meriden	Road in poor condition. Can it be brought forward from 14/15?	Garston Lane is currently programmed for resurfacing in November 2011
Councillor Oaten	Kytes Drive Thin Surfacing (CWY12397 planned for 2012-2013)	Meriden	Road in poor condition. Disabled estate. Can the thin surfacing be brought forward from 12/13?	Kytes Drive could not be accommodated in the 11/12 programme but remains on the programme for 12/13
Councillor Oaten	Widgeon Way footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition. Used by Senior Citizens.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Widgeon Way was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Tudor Walk footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Tudor Walk was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Douglas Avenue footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams. Douglas Avenue was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	Hibbert Avenue footways	Meriden	Footway in poor condition.	Please see the response under Section 1.1c above . All IWP/FWP footway schemes result from annual bids from the area teams Hibbert Avenue was not included in the area team's bid this year
Councillor Oaten	A41 North Western Avenue	Meriden / Tudor	High friction surface needs replacing on	The use of High Friction Surfacing (HFS) is reviewed each time a scheme is designed to

Councillor	Road Location	Ward Location	Comments	Officer Response
			northbound carriageway on approach to Asda signals	ensure it is an appropriate treatment or whether a high Polished Stone Value (PSV) stone surfacing may be better value and longer lasting for maintaining skid resistance. There are a number of schemes in the FWP which will provide the opportunity for a review of the HFS
Councillor Oaten	Devon Road	Meriden	Carriageway in poor condition	This site has been inspected as a result of Member and public representations and found to suffer from surface cracking and some local delamination but no signs of underlying overall structural failure. It would possibly benefit from a Micro Surfacing treatment. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012 for possible inclusion in the programme
Councillor Watkin	Park Road (between Stamford Road and Nascot Road)	Nascot	Carriageway in poor condition.	To date Park Road has not been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012
Councillor Watkin	Blackley Close	Nascot	Carriageway in poor condition.	To date Blackley Close has not been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012
Councillor Watkin	Hawthorn Close	Nascot	Carriageway in poor condition.	To date Hawthorne Close has not been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012
Councillor Scudder	Rother Close	Stanborough	Carriageway in poor condition.	To date Rother Close has not been identified as suitable for inclusion in the FWP. It will be assessed during the annual survey to take place at end 2011/early 2012